A Multi-Level Fast Multipole BEM-Method for computing the sound field in rooms
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Summary
The Multi-Level Fast Multipole Method (MLFMM) allows the computation of acoustical problems where the discretized models of the corresponding structures may consist of a huge number of elements.

The required calculation time and the memory requirements are much less when compared with conventional boundary element methods because the algorithm uses a level-based composition of the potentials from different point sources to acoustic multipoles, which highly accelerates the computation of the matrix-vector-products required.

The MLFMM will be applied to room acoustical problems. Results for simple-shaped rectangular rooms equipped with different kinds of boundary conditions like for example, different impedance boundary conditions at each wall of the room, will be compared with respect to accuracy and solving time with analytical solutions and results based on conventional BEM-based calculations.

PACS no. 43.20.El, 43.55.Ka

1. Fast Multipole Method

The Multi-Level Fast Multipole Method (MLFMM) describes a fast algorithm to accelerate the matrix-vector-product which is required for the iterative solution of for BEM-based calculations without ever assembling the complete matrix.

The method is suited for big problems where the interactions between huge numbers of source \( (N_x) \) and destination points \( (N_y) \) must be considered.

The decrease of required interactions can be identified by comparing figures 1 and 2.

Within the three-dimensional case the clusters are represented by cubic boxes of different sizes, as shown in figure 3. Each box contains a set of four so-called interaction lists \( (L_1 \ldots L_4) \) which are describing the near-field and neighbourhood relations of a box and which are used to calculate the cluster interactions. Details of this algorithm and its implementation may be found in [3] and [4].
A MLFMM-code for calculating the sound scattered from objects within fluids which supports the use of different kinds of solving methods and solvers was developed during a former project [1, 2]. This code was extended to interior problems combined with impedance boundary conditions.

2. Results for a room w/o interior wall

An air-filled room of $4 \times 3 \times 2$ m was used in this first simple test case. A monopole source (•) is placed in the room at [0.925 1.5 1] m. For comparability reasons, the model was build using COMSOL (407,200 finite elements) and the surface mesh (19,300 boundary elements) was exported for the BEM-based calculations.

The maximum border length of $l_{\text{max}} = 0.1$ m fits the $1/6 \lambda$-condition up to a frequency of 500 Hz ($\lambda_{\text{air}} = 0.686$ m).

The surface pressure (absolute value) for the FEM- and BEM-based results (figures 5 and 6) agrees very well and there are no significant differences between the direct and iterative solvers and an analytical solution at the field points inside the room.
b) 500 Hz, with impedance $Z = \rho c$ at the ceiling and the back wall

Figure 8. FEM (COMSOL), $N_{elem} = 407,200$, 500 Hz, with impedance $\Delta t_s$: 229 s (MUMPS)

Figure 9. BEM, $N_{elem} = 19,300$, 500 Hz, with impedance $\Delta t_s$: 198.8 s (IMKL)
48.1 s (GMRES, $N_{iter} = 68, e < 10^{-5}$)
51.6 s (MLFMM, $O_{mp} = 13, N_{iter} = 68, e < 10^{-5}$)

Here the MLFMM-based solution has some visible differences at the “quieter” parts of the room when using a “lower” multipole order.

Figure 10. BEM using MLFMM, some small but visible differences compared with figure 9 $\Delta t_s$: 31.1 s (MLFMM, $O_{mp} = 10, N_{iter} = 68, e < 10^{-5}$)

3. Results for a room containing an interior dividing wall

The room was modified using a dividing wall with a thickness of 0.2 m and a depth of 2 m. The ceiling has an impedance of $Z = \rho c$, all other walls are rigid. The monopole source ($\cdot$) resides within the left part of the room. The FEM mesh consists of 3,197,500 elements and the resulting surface mesh needs 56,400 elements using a maximum border length of $l_{max} = 0.05$ m, suitable for 1 kHz.

Figure 11. Room $4 \times 3 \times 2$ m with dividing wall

a) 500 Hz, with impedance $Z = \rho c$ at the ceiling

Figure 12. FEM (COMSOL), $N_{elem} = 3,197,500$ $\Delta t_s$: 15,050 s ($\approx 4:11$ h, MUMPS)

Figure 13. BEM, $N_{elem} = 56,400$, 500 Hz $\Delta t_s$: 6,231 s ($\approx 1:43$ h, IMKL)
806 s (GMRES, $N_{iter} = 170, e < 10^{-5}$)
4. Conclusions

The results achieved have demonstrated that the conventional BEM compared with the FEM method gives comparable results at lower solving times. A significant performance advantage can be achieved when treating complex structures and different kinds of boundary conditions.

The Fast Multipole Method also seems to be applicable but has differences in quality at higher frequencies above 500 Hz especially in “quieter” regions of the structure due to the method-based errors when computing the matrix vector product.

Additional investigations seem to be necessary in optimizing the MLFMM-code and a level-based adaption of the multipole order.

An adequate preconditioning of the iterative solver is needed to reduce the number of iterations because a good convergence is a precedent condition for a successful application of the MLFMM.
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